

TOWN COUNCIL MINUTES UNOFFICIAL Regular Meeting Wednesday, February 24, 2016 6:30 PM Council Chambers

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Sullivan called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL #1

In attendance: Councilors Donald Winterton, Tim Tsantoulis, Robert Duhaime, Marc Miville, David Ross, James Sullivan. Councilor Nancy Comai arrived at 6:05 p.m. Councilor Adam Jennings arrived at 6:20 p.m. and Councilor James Levesque arrived at 6:21 p.m.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. LILAC BRIDGE - PUBLIC INPUT - 30 MINUTES

 4.a Public input for the following Boards/Commissions to make their recommendations on the Lilac Bridge Preliminary Design: Village Water Commission, Sewer Commission, Heritage Commission, Historical Society. Also for general public to provide their input on the Lilac Bridge.

Chairman Sullivan indicated that following the Boards, Commissions, and public input, the Council will discuss and an additional 15 minutes of public input will follow. Town Engineer, Jim Donison stated that he had traveled around the state to local bridges and shared photos of pedestrian bridges in Manchester, Keene, Claremont and Windham.

The first photo was a bridge in Manchester, pony truss, weathered, wood, 160 feet in length, 8 feet in width and 5 feet in height. There is a left and right abutment and the steel bridge doesn't allow snowmobiles. The Keene bridge, built in 2012, is a through truss, weathered steel, 600 feet long. There are concrete abutments on each side and an arch in the middle. The objective was to look like a railroad and because it goes over a highway, they were required to include safety fencing. The weathering showed staining.

The Claremont bridge is a semi-pony with a truss on top for aesthetics. It's painted and approximately 200 feet long, 10 feet in width. A wooden deck has been in place at least six years. One end goes to a park and a nice job has been done enhancing the park. The other side is a commercial area and it swings up at the ends. No motorized vehicles allowed.

In Windham, they have a multi use pony bridge, 200 feet in length, 12 feet wide, concrete deck and weathered steel. The bridge crosses a highway so the rails are higher, full length, and includes safety fencing. The photo showed staining of the concrete

Mr. Donison put up a number of pictures of Contech pedestrian bridges indicating that multiple styles are available, including utility bridges with water and sewer running across the bridge. Different side rails and finishes are available.

57

58

59 60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Bob Durfee, Vice President at DuBois & King (D&K), and project manager, presented a condensed version of a presentation made at the Council's workshop of February 17th with a focus on options being considered tonight. Mr. Durfee summarized the options below. An asterisk * denotes DuBois & King's recommendation. D&K recommends both truss types.

| | Alterr | nate A | Alterr | nate B | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Truss Type | Pony Truss * | \$1,060,000 | ThroughTruss * | | |
| | lowest cost; open | appearance; easier ot similar to existing | in appearance; tun long spans; difficult | ; similar to existing nel vision effect for utility access | |
| Coating | Weathering | -0- | Painted * | + \$ 70,000 | |
| | lowest cost; low appearance; rust sidifficult to clean | maintenance; rusty stains on concrete; | visually attractive; easily touched up; maintenance costs | 40-year protection; upfront and future | |
| Decking | Timber | -0- | Concrete * | + \$160,000 | |
| | | easy to replace (15- span; plow damage tenance | durable, lowest long term costs; adaptable for multi-purpose use; low maintenance; upfront cost | | |
| Bridge Width | 10-Feet | -0- | 12-Feet * | + \$110,000 | |
| | | nel vision; limited e access; restrictive | snowmobile traffic vehicle restrictions; | | |
| Utility Placement | Hung Below * | \$ 370,000 | Side Mounted | + \$130,000 | |
| | aesthetically pleasi | standard practice; ng; protects utilities vandalism; limited ance | expensive; differer | | |
| Security Fencing | Not Selected | -0- | If Selected | + \$ 25,000 | |
| | D&K believes fenci | ng is not necessary b | ut is something the to | own must consider | |
| Lighting | ighting Not Selected -0- | | If Selected | + \$ 75,000 | |
| | Mr. Durfee said ligh | ting is not required fo | or this design but is a | n option | |
| | | | | | |
| | | \$1,930,000 | | \$1,930,000 | |
| TOTAL | | \$3,360,000 | | \$4,080,000 | |
| Base Cost: repair | rs, demolition, approa | ches, engineering, ar | nd contingencies | | |

Total project cost as recommended by D&K is \$3.7 million and would likely get approval from the NH Department of Transportation.

Councilor Ross said that based on the span height, both designs show arches, with the pony arch more exaggerated. He asked how big a difference the arch would have to be. Mr. Durfee said the arch can be specified and the profile shows a slight arch, not more than a few inches. They need to keep a flat bridge because of the utilities. Mr. Durfee said a through truss and pony truss can be flat. Councilor Ross asked if there was a significant cost difference between controlled blast versus a cut and remove price. Based on the type of demolition or removal that has been done on the last three bridges in New Hampshire, Mr. Durfee said there is a price difference but they did not find any definitive cost for removing the existing bridge. Councilor Ross then asked if there was any movement on salvage? Mr. Durfee said they had not yet advertised the sale. They are waiting for review comments from the Department of Transportation (DOT) before that can be done. Mr. Donison said the original schedule was to put it out for sale on February 22nd but must await DOT's okay.

73 74

Councilor Tsantoulis asked if Mr. Durfee was aware that Comcast and FairPoint lines are attached to the bridge. Mr. Durfee said he was aware and indicated that they want to be back on the new bridge.

76 77 78

79

80

81

82

75

69

70

71

72

In follow up to last week's discussion, Councilor Miville again brought up saving a portion of the bridge and asked if the town would have to pay for it. Mr. Durfee indicated that the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) requires that the town do some historical presentation which could entail salvaging parts of the bridge and re-installing in the park. That will not be possible if all three spans are sold. Mr. Durfee said "we'll have to see how the 'for sale' goes". If there are no takers, parts can be salvaged for that purpose

83 84 85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

Chairman Sullivan opened up the discussion to Boards and Commissions. Todd Smith for the Water Precinct came forward. Councilor Winterton mentioned that it was acceptable for anyone to make no particular recommendation. Mr. Smith said the Water Precinct had no preference on truss, fencing or lighting. They would like concrete, weathered steel, outside placement of the utilities, 12 foot width, and no additional options. Mr. Smith said the Precinct took a look at the wood deck and accessibility is not as easy as it sounds. Mr. Smith also stated that the Precinct can contribute \$100,000 toward the project. If the project doesn't include connection, than their contribution would be \$80,000. Councilor Comai asked what kind of maintenance would be required to make it worthwhile to recommend side mounting of utilities. Councilor Comai suggested that very little maintenance may be required and may not be worth exposing the utilities 365 days a year. Mr. Smith was unable to provide specifics on maintenance. Town Administrator, Dean Shankle, said the cost of including a water line is \$250,000 and the Precinct is kicking in \$100,000.

97 98 99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

Sewer Commissioners Sidney Baines and Frank Kotowsky said "everything he [Todd Smith] said is what we want as well" -- weathered concrete, 12 feet wide, side mounted utilities. Security fencing would be a good idea. They have no preference on lighting and want to leave that to safety people. Mr. Baines said that even if decking is wood, somebody has to go underneath to unleash bolts. Mr. Donison said fasteners can be maintained from the top. Councilor Duhaime asked if the sewer and water lines could be placed on the same side of the bridge. Mr. Durfee said he did not believe there was any code requirement but putting the lines side-by-side would increase the cost for lopsided loading. In response to Councilor Tsantoulis, Mr. Baines said the sewer line has been there for 47 years and has never been maintained. Councilor Tsantoulis questioned why the Commissioners would be opposed to having the lines underneath since today's technology is probably better and maintenance hasn't been required over 47 years with the current technology. Mr. Baines said that utilities do have to be maintained and it's the rate payers that pay for it. Side mounting would be best according to the Sewer Commission. Councilor Miville asked how often the lines inspected. Mr. Baines said every five years. Councilor Miville also asked for those who say they would prefer a fence, is there a preference on how high the fence should be and is there a state preference. Chairman Sullivan said Mr. Donison "showed us many designs" and all such details can be worked out later. Mr. Durfee said D&K is recommending 4.5 foot railings which is required if the bridge is to be opened to bicyclers. Mr. Baines said the Commission agrees with D&K's recommendation but will leave that decision for the Council to decide. Councilor 3

Winterton asked if there was a fence on the Lilac Bridge now. The response was "no" and the pipes have been on the outside. Councilor Comai noted that side mounting adds an additional \$130,000 to the cost. Mr. Baines said the Sewer Commission is donating \$500,000 to this project. In response to Councilor Duhaime who asked whether \$130,000 was the total for both lines, Mr. Durfee said "yes".

Kathie Northrup, Chair of the Heritage Commission, said that the Commission's preferences are through truss, weathering steel, timber, 12 feet width. They are in favor of security fencing up to the top rail of the through truss (approximately 54") because they want to keep river views open. They would prefer utilities be placed below the bridge not just for aesthetics but also because it protects the utilities and is lower cost. The Commission has no preference on lighting. Ms. Northrup said she visited one of each type of truss and the Commission's concern was the size and scale and located adjacent to Memorial Bridge. The location of the bridge is such a high visibility spot and they want to maintain a similarity to the Lilac Bridge. She said the Keene bridge is 600 feet long; Hooksett's bridge is 480 feet. The Singer Bridge in Manchester is three times as long. Proximity to the other bridge needs consideration. Ms. Northrup said "we don't take advantage of the river as we should", and the through truss is more open and creates more access. She thanked Jim Donison for getting photos of a number of bridges throughout the state. Ms. Northrup still would like to see some drawings or renderings of some kind and she would like to get another name for the bridge and not just "new bridge". It was noted that the Memorial Bridge was built in 1976.

Bob Thinnes, Acting President of the Historical Society, said the existing bridge name plate notes that it was built by the American Bridge Company and that plate should be part of portions to save. The Historical Society is in favor of a through truss, weathered steel, wood, 12 feet but they can live with 10 feet width. They would prefer utilities on the bottom for aesthetical reasons. Fencing and lighting is up to the Council so long as it resembles the existing bridge as much as possible.

Dr. Dean Shankle provided staff input: through truss, weathering steel, timber, and 12 feet in width are preferred. On the matter of whether utilities should be side mounted or hung below, Dr. Shankle suggested that given the money that the Sewer Commission is putting into the project, perhaps the state Division of Historic Resources could be consulted to find out if they care about the placement of utilities. It seems that would be fair. No lighting is required since "we don't want to encourage" night time visitors. Chairman Sullivan said the state was kicking in \$600,000. Councilor Ross asked if the Sewer Commission's financial input is contingent on the placement of the pipes. Mr. Baines said they made a commitment of \$500,000. Dr. Shankle suggested going to the state before March 10th to inquire whether or not they had a preference. He further stated that the Sewer Commission has gone over and above trying to get this done and "if the state people don't care, it would be a nice thing to do".

Peter Farwell asked if this was going to be placed on the warrant. Chairman Sullivan said that it would not, and referenced the cost breakdown listed on the Council minutes of 12/17/14. Mr. Farwell feels that \$3.3 million is too much and there is no reason to create a pedestrian bridge that could create security problems. He stated that the bridge has been closed for many years and no one has missed it. He said "we have a beautiful bridge that pictures can be taken from". He suggested taking \$1.8 million, the estimated cost of a sewer-only bridge and providing it to the Conservation Commission for a park along the river.

Chairman Sullivan asked if state funds are available for the \$1.8 million sewer bridge. Dr. Shankle said the state is giving the town money to take down the old bridge. Councilor Comai noted that \$1.8 of the \$3.3 million has to be spent anyway.

Brian Tilton, a resident who was recently appointed to the Budget Committee, said he is an avid bicyclist and rides on roads, trails. He said people have wanted this for a long time and it will be an asset to the community and improve accessibility. There will be more walking and biking. People can go from Veterans Drive to Robie's. The bridge will provide a safe, quiet connection. Mr. Tilton agrees that the bridge should be 12 feet wide. He is partial toward concrete decking and asked if composite material was considered. Mr. Durfee said a composite material is more costly than timber, probably same cost as concrete. Mr. Tilton had no preference on timber or concrete but suggested strongly that utilities be placed under the bridge to protect from the weather and vandalism. He said weathered steel is pretty universal and that fencing and lighting are not necessary and can be added at some point.Mr. Tilton asked if bollards would be placed at the entrance to the bridge and gated. Chairman Sullivan said the bollards will be removable for maintenance vehicles.

Dennis Desrocher asked about the size of the pipes. Mr. Durfee said the current pipes are 10 inches; plans are to install 12 inch pipes for future growth. Todd Smith asked about the cost. Mr. Durfee said that both Comcast and FairPoint have easement rights to be on the existing bridge and they have informed D&K that they intend to exercise that easement to be put on the new bridge. Councilor Ross said easements apply to existing things and don't transfer. A new bridge would require that a new easement be renegotiated. Dr. Shankle will find out what is required.

5. LILAC BRIDGE - TOWN COUNCIL DISCUSSION

Councilor Tsantoulis asked if the bridge would be accessible year-round and whether any thought had been given to winter maintenance. Dr. Shankle said no motorized vehicles will be allowed on the bridge. Mr. Donison said the plan would be to let the snow accumulate. Councilor Ross noted that emergency vehicles will need access. Councilor Winterton followed up on Mr. Donison's comments and felt the bridge should not be plowed. He said "people can walk over it or cross country ski to Robie's and back, and it could be a nice winter activity. Councilor Comai echoed that sentiment.

Councilors expressed their preferences as follows:

Councilor Tsantoulis prefers a pony truss because it is less expensive, weathering, timber, 12 feet, utilities hung below. Councilor Tsantoulis said he looked at the pipes and they look good so maintenance can be handled as needed. Fencing and lighting can be dealt with at a later date.

Councilor Jennings likes a pony truss, weathering, concrete that has three life spans of wood., utilities on bottom, 12 feet width, no fencing, and lighting can be done later.

Councilor Duhaime prefers a through truss since it mimics the current bridge, weathering, timber, 12 foot width, utilities hung below. He feels fencing is not money well spent. Councilor Duhaime thinks that foot LED lighting might be appropriate and if the bridge rebuild doesn't lead to other projects, it would be a shame. People could enjoy this. It's expensive but is an investment in the future.

TC Minutes 02.24.16 Unofficial

Councilor Miville is in favor of a pony truss because it is more aesthetically pleasing and it is time for a change, weathering coating, concrete because timber is problematic and he would prefer the utilities be hung below, 12 foot width, no fencing, no lighting.

Councilor Comai would like to see a rendering of the bridge as requested by Kathie Northrup before deciding on the truss. Other preferences include weathering, timber, for ease of maintenance, 12 feet wide, and she likes the idea of LED lighting.

Chairman Sullivan would prefer a through truss with fewer cross beams on the top which would provide the feel of the old bridge, weathering, timber, 12 feet wide. The Chairman prefers timber if the utilities are hung below. If mounted on the side, he would prefer concrete but it would be worth asking the state their preference. Some fencing may be necessary.

Councilor Winterton is in favor of a through truss and cautioned against underestimating the power of the state on the Council's decision. It is a historical bridge. Other preferences include weathering steel, 12-feet, timber which is \$160,000 less than concrete. Councilor Winterton suggested establishing a Capital Reserve Fund (CRF) of \$110,000 a year, costing taxpayers one cent apiece to accumulate funds that will become necessary in the future. Councilor Winterton would prefer utilities hung below but agrees with Dr. Shankle that if the state has no preference, consideration should provided to the Sewer Commission's preference given their generous contribution to the project. No fencing and he likes the idea of LED lighting especially when combined with Ms. Northrup's comments about approaches leading up to the park. Park and Recreation fees could be available.

Councilor Ross favors a through truss because the height will be less and it is more stable, weathering steel, and timber which will allow "doing maintenance ourselves". He'd prefer utilities be hung below, no fencing, and 12 foot width. Councilor Ross said this is a signature bridge and how it looks should be the Council's main objective. He also believes there should be lighting which would inhibit vandalism and make it safer with many boats out in the summertime.

Councilor Levesque prefers a pony truss, weathering, 12 foot width, no fencing. Councilor Levesque prefers timber decking and likes Councilor Winterton's idea of creating a fund and having the funds necessary to replace the deck 15 or 20 years down the road. Utilities below can be inspected in the Spring and Fall during good weather and can be done by boat. Lighting would be nice.

Councilor Miville totaled the vote as follows:

| | TT | AJ | RD | MM | NC | JS | DW | DR | JL | TOTAL |
|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Truss | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pony | Х | Χ | | Х | | | | | Х | 4 |
| Through | | | X | | Х | Х | X | Χ | | 5 |
| Coating | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weathering | X | Χ | Χ | Х | X | X | X | X | X | 9 |
| Painted | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Decking | | | | | | | | | | |
| Timber | X | | X | | Х | X | X | X | X | 7 |

| Concrete | | Х | | Х | | | | | | 2 |
|------------|----|----|-----|----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|------------------------|
| Width | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10-Feet | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 12-Feet | Х | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 9 |
| Utility | | | | | | | | | | |
| Below | Х | Х | Х | X | X | Х | X | X | X | 9 |
| Side-Mount | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Fencing | No | No | No | No | Х | Some | No | No | No | 7 No 2 Yes |
| Lighting | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | N/A | Yes | Yes | Yes | 5 Yes 3 No 1 N/A |

6. LILAC BRIDGE - PUBLIC INPUT - 15 MINUTES

259

260261

262

263

264265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280 281

282

283 284

285

286

287

288

289

290 291

292

293 294

295

In response to Chairman Sullivan, Mr. Durfee said they could raise the deck if the utility pipes get side mounted. Mr. Thinnes suggested putting a deck along side the piping so that the timber would not have to be torn up when maintenance is required.

Kathie Northrup said the Heritage Commission wants fencing as low as possible if it gets put Chairman Sullivan said the details can be worked out later. The Council discussed whether to include the water pipe now of later given the Water Precinct's inability to fund the full cost at this time. Mike Heidorn, Superintendent of the Water Precinct, asked if there was an alternative to run a secondary line since they don't have the ability to come up with more funds and are "giving everything we can". Discussion ensued on water limits and access. Chairman Sullivan suggested that perhaps arrangements could be made to have the Water Mr. Heidorn said if the Water Precinct's contribution is not Precinct reimburse the town. enough, could construction allow to put the water line at a later date. Chairman Sullivan asked if pipes go under the bridge, could the water pipe be attached later. Mr. Durfee said yes it could. Councilor Ross said it's the Council's responsibility to do what is best for the town. Mr. Durfee said they can eliminate the connections but it would not constitute a large savings, maybe \$20,000. Todd Smith stated that he had no issue if it can be engineered at a later date at the Water Precinct's expense but the most they can do right now is \$100,000. Councilor Ross said it is a town wide issue whether everyone benefits or not. The town, as a whole, benefits.

7. LILAC BRIDGE - TOWN COUNCIL VOTE ON PRELIMINARY DESIGN

Councilor Comai asked the Sewer Commissioners if today the Council voted to have the utilities hung below the bridge, would that change the \$500,000 contribution committed to a while back. Mr. Baines said they have lived with the pipes on the side for 47 years without an issue. Councilor Miville pointed out that the funds are not the Sewer Commissions but the ratepayers and citizens money. Chairman Sullivan again stated that the town still has to go to the state. The type of bridge and utility placement is the state's main concern.

Mr. Durfee said he did not need votes taken on fencing and lighting right now but will need it during the final design stage.

Councilor Ross moved, second by Councilor Winterton, to the bridge being 12-feet wide. Roll

296 Call #2 – Duhaime-yes, Miville-yes, Ross-yes, Levesque-yes, Jennings-yes, Comai-yes, 297 Winterton-yes, Tsantoulis-yes, Sullivan-yes. Motion passed unanimously, 9-0.

Councilor Winterton moved, second by Councilor Tsantoulis, to weathering steel coating. Roll Call #3 – Comai-yes, Ross-yes, Jennings-yes, Miville-yes, Duhaime-yes, Tsantoulis-yes, Levesque-yes, Winterton-yes, Sullivan-yes. Motion passed unanimously, 9-0.

Councilor Winterton moved, second by Councilor Levesque, to timber decking. Roll Call #4 – Jennings-yes, Levesque-yes, Ross-yes, Duhaime-yes, Miville-no, Winterton-yes, Tsantoulis-yes, Comai-yes, Sullivan-yes. Motion passed 8-1 (Councilor Miville opposed).

Councilor Comai moved, second by Councilor Duhaime, to a Through Truss. Roll Call #5 – Tsantoulis-no, Ross-yes, Duhaime-yes, Jennings-no, Levesque-no, Winterton-yes, Miville-no, Comai-yes, Sullivan-yes. Motion passed 5-4 (Councilors Tsantoulis, Jennings, Levesque, and Miville opposed).

Councilor Ross moved, second by Councilor Levesque, to have utilities placed under the bridge. Roll Call #6 – Miville-yes, Levesque-yes, Winterton-yes, Comai-yes, Ross-yes, Tsantoulis-yes, Jennings-yes, Duhaime-yes, Sullivan-yes. Motion passed unanimously, 9-0.

Councilor Ross moved, second by Councilor Jennings, to exclude fencing and lighting from the bids. Roll Call #7 – Ross-yes, Winterton-yes, Levesque-yes, Duhaime-yes, Jennings-yes, Miville-yes, Tsantoulis-yes, Comai-yes, Sullivan-yes. Motion passed unanimously, 9-0.

Mr. Durfee said the contract could be designed to include the water line and connection for the contractor to bid on and see what pricing comes back. It may come in less than \$190,000. At that time negotiations could be had with the contractor on details. Councilor Winterton said the Sewer Commission set a high bar but he would like the Water Precinct's contribution made whole and suggested the Town Administrator negotiate with the Water Precinct some sort of payback to the town. Dr. Shankle said if "we bid the whole thing, we'll know the whole case scenario -- \$100,000 stays locked in". Councilor Ross said the Water Precinct is not for profit. Councilor Comai said the water department hasn't used the Lilac Bridge; sewer has used it for 47 years.

Councilor Tsantoulis felt it would appear as irresponsible on the Council's part to not make provisions for water. It needs to be done now. Dr. Shankle pointed out that the Sewer Commission is a department of the town; water is not part of town government and it would be irresponsible for them not to come up with the money necessary. Perhaps they need to try harder.

Councilor Ross moved, second by Councilor Jennings, to include water pipe placement under the bridge. Roll Call #8 – Levesque-yes, Duhaime-yes, Tsantoulis-yes, Jennings-yes, Miville-yes, Ross-yes, Comai-yes, Winterton-yes, Sullivan-yes. Motion passed unanimously, 9-0.

Chairman Sullivan mentioned that the meeting with the state is scheduled for March 10th. He would like a list of attendees, where the meeting will be held and the time. Mr. Durfee said that assuming the state approves the preliminary design, no further action will be required of the Council until DuBois & King bring in the final design for review and input from the Council.

346 8. SPECIAL RECOGNITIONS

9. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

9.a Public: 02/10/2016 351 TC Minutes 021016.pdf

Council Secretary Miville reviewed the vote tallies and confirmed their accuracy. Councilor Winterton moved, second by Councilor Levesque, to approve the February 10,2016 Council meeting minutes, as amended. Motion passed 8-0-1 (Councilor Ross abstained).

10. AGENDA OVERVIEW

11. PUBLIC HEARINGS

12. CONSENT AGENDA

13. TOWN ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

Dr. Shankle read a letter received from Chief Bartlett indicating that he was invited to participate in the FBI's National Academy from April 4th through June 10th in Quantico, Virginia. The Academy began in 1935 and provides professional development courses for law enforcement leaders. Classes are offered in law, behavioral science, forensic science, communication, health and fitness, to name a few. Participation is by invitation only through a nomination process. Dr. Shankle said no one from Hooksett has ever attended. It is an excellent program and provided at no cost to the town. Councilor Levesque said he saw the Chief recently and he was really excited about being able to attend the program.

Dr. Shankle reported that Robie's Country store is having an opening this Saturday and are planning a ribbon cutting event sometime next week. More information will be passed along as it becomes available. Councilor Levesque and other Councilors will likely be available to attend the Ribbon Cutting Ceremony.

Recreation staff has been meeting with different groups and are working on doing an afterschool program in the schools run by the town. The School Superintendent is behind the idea and more information will come back to the Council as details get fleshed out.

There was discussion about having a volunteer appreciation breakfast prior to the deliberative session but it doesn't seem like it will be practical. The school does not want the town to use the gym and breakfast would have to be served in the same area as where the session will take place.

14. PUBLIC INPUT - 15 MINUTES

15. NOMINATIONS AND APPOINTMENTS

15.a Nomination for Planning Board Alternate Stelmach PB App.pdf

Sorensen PB App.pdf

396 397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

Councilor Winterton stated that two people submitted their applications to serve as Alternate Planning Board members. The Planning Board has recommended Christopher Stelmach to serve in that capacity. Councilor Winterton abstained from the vote. Mr. Stelmach came forward and said he had been a resident of Hooksett his whole life and commented that his 6th grade class was in the current Council room. Mr. Stelmach said he had construction background experience in excavating and site planning. Councilor Winterton said "the things that Chris just mentioned caught the attention of the Planning Board to have that kind of expertise on the Board". Councilor Levesque said he has known Chris for a number of years and supports his nomination. Councilor Levesque said that "he has common sense which is something you can't teach". Dr. Shankle encouraged Mr. Stelmach to take courses offered for municipal leaders which would be paid for by the town. A number of Councilors have attended such courses and have found them very helpful. Councilor Miville said he loves to see new faces and commented on Mr. Stelmach's statement on the town's growth and that some dispassionate decisions may have to be made as an Alternate on the Planning Board.

410 411 412

413

414

415

416

417

Chairman Sullivan noted that no second was needed for nominations; Mr. Stelmach's appointment will be made at the next Council meeting. Councilor Comai suggested reaching out to the second candidate, Anne Sorensen, when there is another opening. Councilor Winterton said Ms. Sorensen did appear before the Planning Board and is very interested in serving. Council members expressed concern that Boards and Commissions are "making their own appointments". Dr. Shankle reminded Council members that they've asked Boards and Commissions to do this. Available volunteer positions is attached.

418 419 420

BOARDS COMMITTEES Open January 2016.pdf

421 422

16. SCHEDULED APPOINTMENTS

423 424

15 MINUTE RECESS 17.

426 427

425

18. OLD BUSINESS

428 429 15-092 Budgets, CIP and Warrant Articles

430 431

432

RENEWABLE ENERGY WARRANT.pdf

433 434 435

436

437

438

Councilor Winterton moved, second by Chairman Sullivan, to place a renewable energy warrant on the ballot. Councilor Winterton said the article reads "To see if the town will vote to adopt the provisions of RSA 72:61 through RSA 72:72 inclusively, which provide for an optional property tax exemption from the property's assessed value, for property tax purposes, for persons owning real property, which is equipped with solar energy systems, wind-powered energy systems or wood heating energy systems intended for use at the immediate site. Such property tax exemption shall be in the amount equal to 100% of the assessed value of qualifying equipment under these statutes."

439 440 441

442

443

444

445

Councilor Winterton said the warrant puts the town in line with being a "green" community. Dr. Shankle said the issue does not need to be put on the ballot; this is something the Council can do. Councilor Comai asked if there was an ordinance in effect. Councilor Winterton said it is in zoning. Chairman Sullivan said it is "up to us under charter". Councilor Ross said "we dealt with this and don't have any mechanism to put value on this other than 10

TC Minutes 02.24.16 Unofficial

what the owner tells us. All we're doing is putting more work on assessors who ignore it unless it becomes a problem". Councilor Winterton withdrew his motion and Chairman Sullivan withdrew his second. Councilor Duhaime felt it took away taxable income from the town. Councilor Winterton said the effect is if he puts solar panels on his house, it does not increase the value of his house. Many towns have adopted such a measure to ensure they are viewed as environmentally "green". Chairman Sullivan said the pattern of homes selling at an increased value is not yet in place.

Default Budget.pdf

 Christine Soucie, Director of Finance, presented the FY 2016-17 default budget of \$17,206,826 which is \$54,466, or 0.32%, less than the Council's recommended budget of \$17,261,292. Chairman Sullivan said the default budget should be lowered by an additional \$52,000 given the contract with the new Fire Chief. Chairman Sullivan moved, second by Councilor Comai, to approve a FY 2016-17 default budget of \$17,154,826. Motion passed unanimously, 9-0.

Dr. Shankle asked the Council to consider removing the \$50,000 warrant article for a new car for the fire department. In 2013, the department came before the Council and asked for a new car because their car was rusted out and couldn't be inspected. Council gave the department \$30,000. The voters' guide indicated that the old car would be sold for scrap. It turns out that the red car that the town got for the code enforcement officer was the old car that was to be sold for scrap. Instead, they put the car at the highway garage and put \$2,400 worth of work on it and is now being used by the Code Enforcement Officers. It's a repeat of what happened in 2013.

Councilor Levesque moved, second by Councilor Duhaime, that an itemized inventory of all department vehicles be prepared and presented to the Council annually. Motion passed unanimously, 9-0.

Councilor Winterton moved, second by Councilor Duhaime, to reconsider the recommendation of Article 10 being placed on the warrant. Motion passed unanimously, 9-0.

Councilor Winterton moved, second by Councilor Jennings, to remove Article 10 from the warrant. Motion passed 8-1 (Councilor Ross opposed).

Councilor Comai likes the inventory idea and suggested that a mechanic should take a look at any vehicle being purchased. Dr. Shankle said that one of the issues that came up was that according to a mechanic, no amount of money would fix it.

Chairman Sullivan moved to extend the meeting by ten minutes. Motion was seconded by Councilor Jennings and passed unanimously, 9-0.

Default.xlsx

19. NEW BUSINESS

19.a 16-006 Quarterly Financial Report for December 31, 2015 Quarterly Financial Report for Dec 31, 2015.pdf Director Soucie presented the second quarterly financial report ending December 31, 2015. She said the operating budget is doing fine with 49 percent being spent and is where you'd expect it to be. Revenue reflects 58% being collected and is consistent over the last three years. The fluctuation in Administration is due to the property liability and workers' compensation insurance coverage, and changed vendors. Fire-Rescue is 47% spent, primarily due to staff turnover. The Police Department is also 47% spent. The Department of Public Works still has several vacant positions. Recycling and Transfer Department is 42% spent which is consistent with the prior years. Even though the winter has not been as harsh as some, storms have occurred on weekends where overtime had to be paid and contract drivers were hired to remove snow from the school parking lots.

Councilor Comai noted that overtime was required because of vacant positions and asked how many positions were vacant. Director Soucie said 7 or 8 people. She continued her report stating that Recycling has spent 42%. Condos were reimbursed in December and are normally reimbursed in January. Revenues are all coming in as planned. The tax deeding process starts in the spring which will drive residents to pay off delinquent taxes. Building permits look good. The ambulance service fund is reported separately and shows 26% uncollected for the period. More was collected in 2015 with cash on hand as of December 32, 2015 at \$431,012.72. The account still grew by \$100,000. Councilor Winterton asked why ambulance expenses were so different between December (\$8,200) and January (\$6,400). Director Soucie said December included holiday pay.

19.b 16-007 TIF District

Dr. Shankle suggested re-scheduling this agenda item.

20. SUB-COMMITTEE REPORTS

Councilor Winterton said the Planning Board spent much time talking about chickens and the draft ordinance was sent to staff to reword the new zoning ordinance. The recommendation is to not allow chickens unless in the low density zone and so long as the chickens are kept on one's own property.

Councilor Miville said the Budget Committee has already gone over warrant articles and things are moving along. Two Budget Committee open seats have been filled – Brian Tilton and Steve Paroda who is an accountant.

Councilor Jennings said lots of big ideas are being discussed for "Fun in the Sun".

21. PUBLIC INPUT

22. NON-PUBLIC SESSION

NH RSA 91-A:3 II (a) The dismissal, promotion, or compensation of any public employee or the disciplining of such employee, or the investigation of any charges against him or her,

NH RSA 91-A:3 II (c) Matters which, if discussed in public, would likely affect adversely the reputation of any person, other than a member of the public body itself.

D. Winterton motioned to enter non-public session at 9:55pm. Seconded by N. Comai.

| 547 | Roll Call |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 548 | D. Winterton – yes |
| 549 | T. Tsantoulis – yes |
| 550 | D. Ross – yes |
| 551 | N. Comai – yes |
| 552 | M. Miville – yes |
| 553 | A. Jennings – yes |
| 554 | R. Duhaime – yes |
| 555 | J. Levesque – yes |
| 556 | J. Sullivan - yes |
| 557 | Vote unanimously in favor. |
| 558 | |
| 559 | D. Ross motioned to exit non-public at 10:20pm. Seconded by D. Winterton. |
| 560 | Vote unanimously in favor. |
| 561 | D. Ross motioned to seal the non-public minutes of 02/24/16. Seconded by J. Sullivan. |
| 562 | Vote unanimously in favor. |
| 563 | |
| 564 | 23. ADJOURNMENT |
| 565 | |
| 566 | D. Ross motioned to adjourn the public session at 10:20pm. Seconded by D. Winterton |
| 567 | Vote unanimously in favor. |
| 568 | voce unulimously miratori |
| | Respectfully submitted by, |
| 569 | Respectivity submitted by, |
| 570 | |
| 571 572 | Suzanne Beauchesne |
| 573 | Recording Clerk |
| | Necoraing Clerk |
| 574 | |
| 575 | |
| 576 | |
| 577 | |

| L. Truss Type Fony Truss \$1,060,000 Through Truss +\$150,000 2 Coating Weathering \$ 0 Painted* +\$ 70,000 3. Decking Timber \$ 0 Concrete* +\$160,000 4. Bridge Width 10-Feet \$ 0 12-Feet* +\$110,000 5. Utility Hung Below* \$ 370,000 Side Mounted + \$130,000 6 Security Not Selected \$ 0 If Selected +\$ 25,000 7. Lighting Not Selected \$ 0 If Selected +\$ 75,000 Base Cost (Repairs, Demolition, Approaches, Engineering, Contingencies): \$ 1,930,000 \$ 1,930,000 Total: \$ 3,360,000 \$ 4,080,000 \$ 4,080,000 | <u>Action Items</u> | Alternate A | ate.A | Alternate B | ite B |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Weathering \$ 0 Painted* Vidth 10-Feet \$ 0 12-Feet* Vidth 10-Feet \$ 0 12-Feet* It Not Selected \$ 370,000 Side Mounted It Not Selected \$ 0 If Selected It Not Selected \$ 0 If Selected It Selected \$ 1,930,000 If Selected \$ 1,930,000 \$ 3,360,000 \$ 3,360,000 | 1. Truss Type | Pony Truss | \$1,060,000 | Through Truss | + \$150,000 |
| Timber | 2. Coating | Weathering | 0.\$ | Painted* | +\$ 70,000 |
| Hung Below* \$370,000 Side Mounted Not Selected \$0 If Selected Not Selected \$0 If Selected airs, Demolition, Approaches, Engineering, Contingencies \$1,930,000 \$3,360,000 | 3. Decking | Timber | 0\$ | Concrete* | + \$160,000 |
| Hung Below* \$370,000 Side Mounted Not Selected \$0 If Selected Repairs, Demolition, Approaches, Engineering, Contingencies \$1,930,000 \$3,360,000 | 4. Bridge Width | 10-Feet | 0\$ | 12-Feet* | + \$110,000 |
| Not Selected \$0 If Selected Not Selected \$0 If Selected (Repairs, Demolition, Approaches, Engineering, Contingencies \$1,930,000 \$1,930,000 | 5. Utility Placement | | \$ 370,000 | Side Mounted | + \$130,000 |
| Not Selected | 6. Security Fencing | Not Selected | 0\$ | If Selected | +\$ 25,000 |
| Approaches, Engineering, Contingencies \$ 1,930,000 \$ 3,360,000 | 7. Lighting | Not Selected | 8.0 | If Selected | +\$ 75,000 |
| \$ 1,930,000 \$ 3,360,000 | se Cost (Repa | - X-1 | oroaches, Engine | ering, Contingenci | es): |
| \$3,360,000 | | | \$ 1,930,000 | | \$ 1,930,000 |
| | Total: | 13.2 | \$ 3,360,000 | | \$ 4,080,000 |

*D&K Recommended Items

HOOKSETT HERITAGE COMMISSION COMMENTS TO PRELIMINARY DESIGN LILAC PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE February 24, 2016

| Alternatives | Preference | Considerations |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Superstructure Alternatives: Through truss (top bracing) vs pony (side trusses only) | Through truss (with opportunity for specific design specs in later phase, e.g. 12 high) | Location adjacent to other bridges in heart of historic area The look (most similar to others, but doesn't necessarily look pedestrian) Size, scale (480', substantial) More for the \$\$ |
| Coating: Weathering steel, paint, galvanized | Weathering steel | The look for the area Lowest cost Low maintenance |
| Decking: wood or concrete | Wood | Cost The look Possible piecemeal replacement/repair |
| Width: 10 or 12 feet | 12 feet | 10' seems restrictive 12' better relative to length, versatility |
| Security Fencing: | Only up to top rail of through truss (approx 54") | "Open" look but still provides protection Keeps river views accessible |
| Utilities attached: Below or sides | Below | Aesthetics—too much impact on design Cost Protection for utilities Lots of "cons" listed for side placement |
| Approaches/Lights | Need not be determined right now | · |